Newborn Use Only

Alert	Prolonged ophthalmic use is not recommended due to risk of severe keratitis and
	corneal adverse effects.
	Safety data is lacking and therefore use the lowest concentration available.
Indication	Local anaesthesia for eye examination (including RetCam) and procedures (laser) in
	newborn infants in conjunction with other pharmacological and/or non-
	pharmacological analgesic methods.
Action	Local anaesthetic.
Drug Type	Ester-type local anaesthetic.
Trade Name	Minims Amethocaine Eye Drops (Tetracaine (amethocaine) hydrochloride)
Presentation	Eve drops 0.5% (5 mg/mL), 1% (10 mg/mL) approximately 0.5 mL. Excipients include
	hydrochloric acid and purified water. No preservatives.
Dosage / Interval	One drop each eve as required 1–5 minutes prior to examination.
	Further drops may be needed to achieve a complete anaesthetic effect.
Maximum daily dose	No information.
Route	Topical instillation into the eves from the container or use a microdron (5–7 microl)
Noute	cannula
Prenaration/Dilution	Eventure: Eventure: (clear colourless sterile) 0.5% (5 mg/ml) 1% (10 mg/ml) approximately 0.5
rieparation/ Dilution	
Administration	Apply pressure to the lacrimal sac during and for 60 seconds after instillation of over
Administration	drop to minimise systemic absorption. Wine away excess medication
	Normal corneal consitivity can be expected after approximately 1 hour
Monitoring	Normal cornear sensitivity can be expected after approximately 1 hour.
Controlindications	
Contraindications	The infection
Duccoutions	Eye infection.
Precautions	The cornea may be damaged by prolonged or frequent application of anaesthetic eye
	drops. Prolonged use of topical ophtnalmic local anaesthetics has been associated with
	severe keratitis and permanent corneal opacification and scarring with accompanying
	reduction of visual acuity of visual loss.
	systemic toxicity typical of local anaestnetics could occur if sufficient amounts were
	absorbed systemically. Systemic absorption of tetracaine (amethocaine) may be
	feduced by compressing the lacrimal sac at the medial cantinus for a minute during and
Dura latana dia na	Tonowing the institution of the drops.
Drug Interactions	Netabolism may be inhibited by anticholinesterases with prolongation of the effects of
	tetracaine (amethocaine).
	May competitively enhance the neuromuscular blocking action of suxamethonium.
Adverse Reactions	Local burning and stinging sensation.
	Biurred vision, keratitis, hyperaemia, lacrimation and allergic conjunctivitis.
	Systemic (if systemic absorption occurs) – Rare. Aphoea, cardiac arrest, ventricular
	arrhythmias, irritability and excitation.
	Prolonged ophthalmic use may lead to severe keratitis and corneal adverse effects. ¹⁰
· · · · · · · · ·	For decontamination after eye exposure, irrigate eyes with sodium chloride 0.9%.
Compatibility	Cyclopentolate, phenylephrine, tropicamide
Incompatibility	No information.
Stability	Discard immediately after use.
Storage	Store at 2°C to 8°C. (Refrigerate. Do not freeze.) Protect from light. Each Minims unit
	should be discarded after a single use.
Special Comments	Not approved for use in preterm infants by FDA (Food and Drug Administration of USA)
	in view of the immaturity of the enzyme system that metabolises the ester type of
	local anaesthetic. ¹¹ The American Academy of Ophthalmology in January 2013
	suggested the use of proparacaine to assess premature infants. ¹² Proparacaine is not
	registered in Australia. Consensus among Australian ophthalmologists during the
	development of this formulary was to continue to use tetracaine(amethocaine) as no
	reported adverse effects in neonates in Australia.

Amethocaine (Tetracaine)

Newborn Use Only

Evidence summary	Efficacy:
Evidence summary	 Efficacy: No clinical trial has assessed the effect of tetracaine (amethocaine) topical eye drops in newborn infants undergoing eye examination for ROP. Three RCTs in newborn infants evaluated the effects of topical anaesthetic eye drops (proparacaine HCL 0.5% ophthalmic solution) during ROP examination [1-3]. Proparacaine eye drops prior to examination were found effective in reducing pain responses and/or the number of infants with high pain scores on eye examination. However, the effect was not great and a substantial proportion of infants still had high pain scores. Another RCT compared topical anaesthetic eye drops (proparacaine HCL 0.5% ophthalmic solution) to oral sucrose during ROP examination [4]. There was no difference between pain scores. Three systematic reviews found that although there is evidence that topical eye anaesthetic reduces pain, its effect is incomplete and screening remains a painful procedure [5]. There is no single pain intervention recommended for ROP examinations [6]. A multi-modal approach to eliminating pain is required. Conclusion: Pain Study Group of the Italian Society of Neonatology 2009 recommended, in the case of RetCam screening, applying local anaesthesia with oxybuprocaine 0.4% or tetracaine (amethocaine) 1% eye drops. For laser therapy for ROP, in general, combine a local anaesthetic with a general anaesthesia. [7] Safety: There are no safety data for the use of topical methocaine eye drops in newborn infants. Systematic review [8] of the use of topical tetracaine (amethocaine) gel 4% for procedural pain in newborn infants found three studies reported a statistically significant reduction in pain compared to placebo during venepuncture and one study reported a statistically significant reduction in pain compared to placebo during or blanching after use of tetracaine (amethocaine) gel 1% for procedural pain in newborn infants found three studies reported a statistically significant reduction in pain compared to placebo during venepuncture and o
References	anaesthesia is 10 to 20 minutes (Minims Amethocaine Eye Drops product information).
References	 Cogen MS, Parker JS, Sleep TE, Elsas FJ, Metz TH, McGwin G. Masked trial of topical anesthesia for retinopathy of prematurity eye examinations. Journal of American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus 2011;15(1):45-8. Marsh VA, Young WO, Dunaway KK, Kissling GE, Carlos RQ, Jones SM, Shockley DH, Weaver NL, Ransom JL, Gal P. Efficacy of topical anesthetics to reduce pain in premature infants during eye examinations for retinopathy of prematurity. Annals of Pharmacotherapy 2005;39(5):829-33. Mehta M, Mansfield T, VanderVeen DK. Effect of topical anesthesia and age on pain scores during retinopathy of prematurity screening. Journal of perinatology 2010;30(11):731-5. Nesargi SV, Nithyanandam S, Rao S, Nimbalkar S, Bhat S. Topical anesthesia or oral dextrose for the relief of pain in screening for retinopathy of prematurity: a randomized controlled double-blinded trial. Journal of tropical pediatrics 2014;61(1):20-4. Dempsey E, McCreery K. Local anaesthetic eye drops for prevention of pain in preterm infants undergoing screening for retinopathy of prematurity. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD007645.pub2/abstract. Francis K, Gephart S. What Is Best Practice for Providing Pain Relief During Retinopathy of Prematurity Eye Examinations?. Advances in Neonatal Care 2016;16(3):220-8.

Newborn Use Only

7. Lago P, Garetti E, Merazzi D, Pieragostini L, Ancora G, Pirelli A, Bellieni CV. Guidelines
for procedural pain in the newborn. Acta Paediatrica 2009;98(6):932-9.
8. Foster JP, Taylor C, Spence K. Topical anaesthesia for needle-related pain in newborn
infants. The Cochrane Library. 2017 Jan 1.
9. Shafi T, Koay P. Randomised prospective masked study comparing patient comfort
following the instillation of topical proxymetacaine and amethocaine. Br J Ophthalmol
1998;82:1285-7.
10. Micromedex solutions. Tetracaine. Accessed on 13 December 2017.
11. MIMS Online. Tetracaine (Amethocaine) Hydrochloride. Accessed on 13 December
2017.
12. American Academy of Pediatrics Section on Ophthalmology. Screening examination
of premature infants for retinopathy of prematurity. Pediatrics. 2013;131(1):189-95.

Original version Date: 13/03/2018	Author: NMF Consensus Group
Current Version number: 1	Current Version Date: 13/03/2018
Risk Rating: Medium	Due for Review: 13/03/2021

Authors Contribution

Original author/s	David Osborn
Expert review	Mark Jacobs, Hughie Tsang, Kimberley Tan
Evidence Review	David Osborn
Nursing Review	Eszter Jozsa
Pharmacy Review	Jing Xiao
Final content and editing review of the original	lan Whyte
Electronic version	Mariella De Rosa, Cindy Chen, Ian Callander
Facilitator	Srinivas Bolisetty